# Heavy Flavor Baryon States at the Tevatron

Sally Seidel Department of Physics and Astronomy University of New Mexico for the CDF and D0 Collaborations NSTAR 2011, Jefferson Laboratory 18 May 2011

1

# Studies by CDF and D0 at Fermilab

- A record of discoveries and other breakthrough measurements, including first observations of the  $\Xi_b, \Sigma_b$ , and  $\Omega_b$ , measurements of the  $\Lambda_b$  lifetime, and studies of rare  $\Lambda_b$  decays.
- Charm baryon spectroscopy: the properties of  $\Lambda_c(2595), \Lambda_c(2625), \Sigma_c(2455), \text{ and } \Sigma_c(2520).$
- Precision measurement of the widths and masses of bottom baryon resonances  $\Sigma_b$  and  ${\Sigma_b}^*$ .
- •A new result on  $\Lambda_b$  production.

# The Tevatron proton-antiproton collider at Fermilab

D



# **The CDF Detector**

•*silicon vertex detector* (L00+SVXII +ISL): 8 layers at radii from 1.5cm to 28cm. Resolution on  $d_0$ : 40 µm. Resolution on  $z_0$ : 70 µm. Resolution on vertex: 15µm.

*central outer tracker* (COT): Ar
-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> open cell multiwire drift

chamber with 8 superlayers (96 measurement layers) at radii from 40 to 140 cm, alternately stereo ( $\pm 2^{\circ}$ ) and axial. Radii from 40 to 137 cm, length 3.1 m.  $|\eta| \le 1$ . Position resolution: 140 µm.  $\sigma(p_T)/p_T^2=0.0015$  (GeV/c)<sup>-1</sup>.

•scintillator + PMT *TOF*: 115 ps resolution. K/ $\pi$  separation  $\ge 2\sigma$  for p < 1.6 GeV/c.

1.4 T *superconducting solenoid* (1.5m radius × 4.8m length).

•EM (Pb/scint) and HAD (Fe/scint) *calorimeters* cover  $|\eta| < 3.64$ : 5.5 int. lengths. Resolutions 13.5% /  $\sqrt{E_T} \oplus 2\%$ (CEM) and 75% /  $\sqrt{E_T} \oplus 3\%$  (CHA).

•*muon detection*: 8 layers, scintillators and proportional chambers to  $|\eta| < 1.5$ , detect muons with  $p_T > 1.4$  GeV/c (CMU) or > 2.0 GeV/c (CMP).

•gas Cherenkov *luminosity counters* at  $3.7 < |\eta| < 4.7$ .



•Level 1, the 'extremely fast tracker': identifies charged particles from the COT, measures their  $p_T$  and azimuthal angles. Requires 2 charged particles with  $p_T>2$  GeV.

•Level 2, the Silicon Vertex Trigger: adds Si hit information, allowing precise measurement of impact parameters  $d_0$ . Requires the 2 L1 tracks have  $0.1 < d_0 < 1$  mm and a common vertex displaced from the interaction point by >100 µm to beamline.

# The CDF Trigger System

•*Level 3*: software confirmation of L1 and L2 with improved reconstruction. High efficiency for collection of long-lived heavy hadrons with the "Two Track Trigger."



# **The D0 Detector**

•*silicon microstrip tracker* (SMT): 800k strips with pitch 50-80  $\mu$ m for tracking and vertexing to  $|\eta| < 2.5$ . 6 barrels, each with 4 layers, plus 16 radial disks. Resolution on  $r\phi \sim 10\mu$ m.

•*central fiber tracker* (CFT): 8 thin coaxial barrels, each with 2 doublets of 0.835 mm  $\pm 3^{\circ}$  stereo scintillating fibers connected to solid state photon counters (VLPC's).



 2 T superconducting solenoid magnet, length 2.73m, diameter 1.42m.

*preshower detectors*: outside solenoid. Pb preradiator. Extruded triangular scintillator strips read out by wavelength shifting fibers + VLPCs.

•*calorimeter:* (LAr + U) covers  $|\eta| < 4.2$ . Central and endcap regions are separated by shower sampling scintillators through  $1.1 < |\eta| < 1.4$ .

**•***muon detection*: tracking detectors + scint. trigger counters in front of and behind 1.8T toroids. 10 cm wide drift tubes at  $|\eta| < 1, 1$  cm mini-drift tubes at  $1 < |\eta| < 2$ .

•plastic scintillator *luminosity counters* at  $2.7 < |\eta| < 4.7$ . Also 18 Roman pots <sub>6</sub> adjacent to the IR.



# The D0 triggers

Level 1: 2 kHz output

Level 2: 1 kHz; Levels 1 and 2 use calorimeter, preshower, fiber tracker, and muon detectors. Level 2 uses calorimeter clustering and matching of objects between subdetectors.

Level 3: 100 Hz to storage. Level 3 partially reconstructs event data within 50 msec. Tevatron experiments: a rich history of contributions to heavy baryon studies

•Measurement of the  $\Lambda_b$  lifetime in  $\Lambda_b \to J / \psi \Lambda^0(2006)$  and in  $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c \pi^-$ (2009): each was the single most precise measurement of  $\tau(\Lambda_b)$  at its time (CDF).

•Measurement of  $\Lambda_b$  cross sections and branching ratios  $\sigma(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-)(2006)$ ,  $B(\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-)(2009)$ , and  $B(\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c \mu \nu)(2009)$ : first measurements (CDF).

Discovery of the  $\Xi_{b}^{-}$  (2007, D0 and CDF) and  $\Sigma_{b}^{(*)}$  (2007) (CDF).

•Observation of the  $\Omega_b$  (2008, D0) and measurement of its relative production rate and lifetime (2009, CDF).

•Measurement of  $\Lambda_b$  relative production cross section × BR( $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-$ ) : test of HQET and opportunity to extract CKM matrix elements (2006, CDF).

•Observation of charmless  $\Lambda_b$  decays: measurement of CP violation, sensitive to  $V_{ub}$  and new physics (2008, CDF).

# The Properties of $\Lambda_c(2595)$ , $\Lambda_c(2625)$ , $\Sigma_c(2455)$ , and $\Sigma_c(2520)$

Motivation: Predicting the mass spectrum and spin splittings in this regime of small momentum transfer requires non-perturbative strong interaction calculations. Mass predictions come from QCD sum rules, lattice, quark model, and bag model. Data can drive theoretical development.





These are all accessible through their strong decays to  $\Lambda_c + 1$  or 2 pions.



•Consistency check: require p and  $\pi$  candidates to have same charge, and total charge is  $\pm 1$ .

•Form  $\Sigma_c(2455)$  and  $\Sigma_c(2520)$  candidates:  $\Lambda_c + 1\pi$  in a common vertex.

•Form  $\Lambda_c(2595)$  and  $\Lambda_c(2625)$  candidates:  $\Lambda_c$ + all possible oppositely charged  $\pi\pi$  pairs in common vertex.

This signal extraction uses a neural network.



### Detailed procedure:

•Quality cuts (e.g., # of hits in the tracker, etc.)

•Split dataset into 2 parts: half for network training, half for analysis.

•On the training sample: Fit distribution to Gaussian + linear background---produce the Probability Density Function. Assign a weight to each training event, as likelihood of being signal or background, based on event mass proximity to Gaussian mean. This is the sPlot technique.\*

•Train neural network to search for  $\Lambda_c$  using  $\Lambda_c$  events with displaced secondary vertex, high track  $p_T$ , and correct particle ID's from TOF and dE/dx. *Train on data exclusively*.

•Apply trained neural network to analysis sample. Select  $\Lambda_c$  events based on track opening angles, impact parameter significance (d/ $\sigma$ (d<sub>0</sub>)), track p<sub>T</sub>, track identity likelihood, quality of vertex reconstruction, secondary vertex displacement.



\*M. Pivk and F.R.Le Diberder, NIM A555, 356 (2005).

Mass(p<sup>+</sup> K<sup>-</sup> π<sup>+</sup>) [GeV/c<sup>2</sup>]

### Procedure, continued

• $\Sigma_c$  and  $\Lambda_c^*$  selection based on:

- $\Lambda_c$  signal probability from network
- ■pion p<sub>T</sub>
- pion impact parameter
- • $\Lambda_c$  within mass window

•In the  $\Sigma_c$  and  $\Lambda_c^*$  network training samples, weight events as signal or bkg based on their mass difference  $\Delta M$  relative to Gaussian mean. Training includes only  $\Sigma_c(2455)$  and  $\Lambda_c^*(2595)$  but networks are applied to the higher mass states as well. Train on data exclusively.

#### • $\Sigma_{c}(\Lambda_{c}^{*})$ network inputs:

- $\Sigma_{c}(\Lambda_{c})$  proper decay time
- • $\Sigma_{c}$  ( $\Lambda_{c}$ ) quality of fit ( $\chi^{2}$ )
- $\Lambda_c$  signal likelihood from  $\Lambda_c$  network
- •For  $\Sigma_c$ :  $\Sigma_c$  transverse impact parameter uncertainty  $\sigma(d_0)$
- •For  $\Sigma_c$ : Transverse impact parameter of  $\pi$  from  $\Sigma_c$  decay
- •For  $\Lambda_c^*$ : uncertainty on impact parameter of  $\pi\pi$  pair

•Independent networks for charged and neutral  $\Sigma_c$ 's. •choose network output threshold to maximize  $S/\sqrt{(S+B)}$ . •To remove the  $\Lambda_c$  mass systematic, observe the  $\Lambda_c^*$  and  $\Sigma_c$  through mass difference plots:

• $m(\Lambda_{c}^{+} \pi^{-}) - m(\Lambda_{c}^{+})$ • $m(\Lambda_{c}^{+} \pi^{+}) - m(\Lambda_{c}^{+})$ • $m(\Lambda_{c}^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}) - m(\Lambda_{c}^{+})$ 

•Fit function: nonrelativistic Breit-Wigner + triple Gaussian detector resolution from Monte Carlo.

• $\Lambda_c(2595)$  requires special treatment. The kinematic threshold is non-negligible for  $\Lambda_c(2595)$  because it decays dominantly through  $\Sigma_c(2455)^{0+}\pi^{+-}$  channels: use mass *dependent* Breit-Wigner for this one.

 $\Lambda_c(2595)$  fits include contributions from 3 final states:

- 1.  $\Sigma_{\rm c}(2455)^0 \pi^+$
- 2.  $\Sigma_{\rm c}(2455)^{++}\pi^{-}$
- 3.  $\Sigma_{\rm c}(2455)^+\pi^0$



The process of separating the signal final state  $(\Lambda_c^+ \pi \pi)$  requires fitting simultaneously to all possible intermediate  $\Sigma_c$  channels. From this, *the pion coupling*  $h_2$  *can be extracted*, as it enters each amplitude through the  $\Sigma_c$ - $\pi$  vertex.

#### **Backgrounds:**

- 1. Combinatorial without real  $\Lambda_c$
- 2. Real  $\Lambda_c$  or  $\Sigma_c$  + random tracks
- 3. Feed-down from other  $\Lambda_c^*$ 's into the  $\Sigma_c$  spectrum

#### **Systematics:**

detector resolution
mass scale (B field)
fit model
uncertainty on Σ<sub>c</sub> PDG value

Resolution is estimated with Monte Carlo and validated by data in the kinematically analogous channels  $D^*(2010)^+ \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$  and  $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J / \psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ . 15

# $\Lambda_{\rm c}(2595)^+$ Results

Mass =  $2592.25 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.14$  MeV/c<sup>2</sup> h<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup> =  $0.36 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.07$ 3.5k signal events This mass result is 3.1 MeV lower than previous measurements because of the inclusion of the threshold effects.



# $\Lambda_{\rm c}$ (2625)<sup>+</sup> Results



Consistent with previous measurements; significant improvement in precision.

#### $\Sigma_{\rm c}(2455)^0$

Mass =  $2453.74 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.14$  MeV/c<sup>2</sup> Width= $1.65 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.49$ 15.6k signal events

#### $\Sigma_{\rm c}(2520)^0$

Mass =  $2519.34 \pm 0.58 \pm 0.14$  MeV/c<sup>2</sup> Width  $12.51 \pm 1.82 \pm 1.37$  MeV/c<sup>2</sup> 9k signal events

#### $\Sigma_{\rm c}(2455)^{++}$

Mass =  $2453.90 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.14 \text{ MeV/c}^2$ Width  $2.34 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.45 \text{ MeV/c}^2$ 13.8k signal events

#### $\Sigma_{\rm c}(2520)^{++}$

Mass =  $2517.19 \pm 0.46 \pm 0.14$  MeV/c<sup>2</sup> Width  $15.03 \pm 2.12 \pm 1.36$  MeV/c<sup>2</sup> 8.8k signal events



18

 $Mass(\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+)-Mass(\Lambda_c^+)$  [MeV/c<sup>2</sup>]

Of the two previous measurements of  $\Sigma_c(2520)^{++}$  mass by CLEO, the lower, earlier value is favored:



 $\Sigma_c(2455)^+$ ,  $\Sigma_c(2520)^0$ , and  $\Sigma_c(2455)^0$  are all consistent with previous studies.



# Measurement of the Bottom Baryon Resonances $\Sigma_b$ and ${\Sigma_b}^*$

Motivation: with quark content udb, these are the "helium atoms of QCD": a "nucleus" of one heavy quark + two orbiting light constituents. This probes non-perturbative QCD in a new regime. Mass spectra for this family are predicted by Heavy Quark Effective Theory, potential models, and lattice calculations. Mass splittings (first measurement here!) are expected to be driven by the u-d mass difference. Resonance widths (first measurement too!) are challenging to predict.





These states, discovered by CDF in 2007, are reconstructed through their common decay to  $\Lambda_b$ .

#### Method:

•Dataset: 6.0 fb<sup>-1</sup> collected from March 2002 to Feb 2010.

•Apply quality cuts to all tracks.

•Fit 3 tracks to common vertex ( $\Lambda_c$ )--- *no particle ID*. Constrain to PDG  $\Lambda_c$  mass.

•Add a  $\pi$  to the vertex and constrain to PDG  $\Lambda_{\rm h}$  mass.





•Clean up combinatorial background: cut on tracks'  $p_T$ ,  $\eta$ . Require impact parameter  $d_0$  small.

•Consistency: require  $\geq 2$  of the tracks included in the Silicon Vertex Trigger: 2 displaced tracks.

•Λ<sub>b</sub> decay vertex displaced (cτ) from primary vertex.  $Λ_b$  points back to the primary vertex.

•Add a  $\pi$  to the  $\Lambda_{\rm b}$  vertex.

## $\Lambda_{\rm b}$ reconstruction:

•All requirements are taken from data optimizing  $S/\sqrt{(S+B)}$  with a binned fit.

- 16.3k candidates
- •Backgrounds: combinatorics, partially and fully reconstructed B mesons producing  $\Lambda_c \pi$ , partially reconstructed  $\Lambda_b$  decays, fully reconstructed  $\Lambda_b$ . decays to other channels (e.g., misidentified  $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda^0 K^-$ ).

## $\Sigma_{\rm b}$ reconstruction:

•To remove systematics on the  $\Lambda_{\rm b}$  mass resolution, fit the mass difference

$$Q \equiv m(\Lambda_b \pi) - m(\Lambda_c \pi) - m_{\pi}^{PDG}$$

•The fit:

- •Signal: unbinned non-relativistic Breit-Wigner lineshape, width broadened to reflect pion's p-wave structure, convoluted with double Gaussian resolution function.
- •Bkg: second-order polynomial x square-root (i.e. combinatoric) kinematic threshold.
- •Separate negative log likelihood functions are constructed for  $(\Sigma_b, {\Sigma_b}^*)^+$  and  $(\Sigma_b, {\Sigma_b}^*)^-$ .

# Results



Significance > 7.0 $\sigma$  for each of the 4 peaks.

24

## Systematic uncertainties:

- Tracker momentum scale---dominates mass measurements
- Two-Gaussian resolution model---dominates width measurements
- background model
- fitting algorithm for Monte Carlo events

Uncertainties are validated by comparison with analogous charm decays in data.

## The final numbers on $\Sigma_{b}^{(*)}$ :

Combining these new mass differences with the CDF measurement of the  $\Lambda_b$  mass (5609.7 ± 1.2 ± 1.2 MeV/c<sup>2</sup>) yields masses

$$m(\Sigma_{b}^{+}) = 5811.2_{-0.8}^{+0.9}(stat.) \pm 1.7(syst.) \text{ MeV/c}^{2}$$
  

$$m(\Sigma_{b}^{-}) = 5815.5_{-0.5}^{+0.6}(stat.) \pm 1.7(syst.) \text{ MeV/c}^{2}$$
  

$$m(\Sigma_{b}^{*+}) = 5832.0 \pm 0.7(stat.) \pm 1.8(syst.) \text{ MeV/c}^{2}$$
  

$$m(\Sigma_{b}^{*-}) = 5835.0 \pm 0.6(stat.) \pm 1.8(syst.) \text{ MeV/c}^{2}$$

and the first measurement of the widths:

$$\Gamma(\Sigma_{b}^{+}) = 9.2^{+3.8}_{-2.9}(stat.)^{+1.0}_{-1.1}(syst.) \text{ MeV/c}^{2}$$
  

$$\Gamma(\Sigma_{b}^{-}) = 4.3^{+3.1}_{-2.1}(stat.)^{+1.0}_{-1.1}(syst.) \text{ MeV/c}^{2}$$
  

$$\Gamma(\Sigma_{b}^{+*}) = 10.4^{+2.7}_{-2.2}(stat.)^{+0.8}_{-1.2}(syst.) \text{ MeV/c}^{2}$$
  

$$\Gamma(\Sigma_{b}^{*-}) = 6.4^{+2.2}_{-1.8}(stat.)^{+0.7}_{-1.1}(syst.) \text{ MeV/c}^{2}$$

# $\Sigma_{\rm b}^{(*)}$ Conclusions

•All 4  $\Sigma_{b}^{(*)}$  states are confirmed at significance > 7 $\sigma$ .

•Mass difference measurements improve upon previous results by > factor of 2.

•Isospin mass splittings available for the first time, at precision comparable to that for charm.

•Negative isospin states have mass slightly higher than positive ones, contrary to the charm case. A theoretical model for this exists.<sup>§</sup>

•The natural widths have been measured for the first time and are in agreement with theoretical expectations.

<sup>§</sup>F.K. Guo et al., JHEP 0809, 136 (2008) and arXiv:0809.2359.

Measurement of the Production Fraction Times Branching Fraction  $f(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b) \cdot B(\Lambda_b \rightarrow J / \psi \Lambda)$ 

Motivation: Decays of b hadrons may be a window onto physics beyond the Standard Model. Few measurements of b baryons are available, and uncertainties on branching fractions are typically 30-60% or more. Improved experimental precision can be input to PQCD and relativistic and non-relativistic quark models. *Dataset: 6.1 fb<sup>-1</sup> recorded during* 2002-2009

#### **Overview:**

Reconstruct 2 kinematically similar channels. In the ratio of their production fractions × branching ratios, *systematics on quark production, luminosity, trigger efficiencies, and selection efficiencies cancel.* 



## Method

•Quality cuts: require  $\geq 1 p\overline{p}$  interaction, minimum # hits in tracking, etc., limit # hits between primary and secondary vertices.

•Trigger on 1 or 2  $\mu$ 's. Reconstruct  $\mu$ 's with track segments that match central tracking to muon detectors. Select on track  $p_T$  and central  $\eta$ . One  $\mu$  must be observed both inside and outside toroid.

•Vertex 2 µ's: find J/ $\psi$  candidates in mass range 2.8 < M<sub>µµ</sub> < 3.35 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>.

In events that pass the J/ $\psi$  cut: vertex all pairs of oppositely charged tracks, select from these  $\Lambda(K_s)$  within mass window 1.102(0.466) < M < 1.130(0.530) GeV/c<sup>2</sup>.

•Require minimum impact parameters on  $\Lambda(K_s)$  tracks.

•Cut on angle ( $\Lambda p_T$ ,  $\Lambda$  track vector) to remove feed-down.

•Constrain  $\mu\mu$  pair to  $J/\psi$  mass (3.097 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>).

## Method, continued

•Form  $\Lambda_b(B^0)$  candidate: form vertex of  $\Lambda(K_s)$  and  $\mu\mu$  pair.

#### Cut on candidate minimum p<sub>T</sub>.

•Require 5.0(4.8) < M < 6.2(5.8) GeV/c<sup>2</sup> for  $\Lambda_{\rm b}({\rm B}^0)$ .

•Predict  $N_{bkg}$  from data sidebands. Predict  $N_{signal}$  ( $\Lambda_b$  or B<sup>0</sup>) from Monte Carlo. Maximize  $N_{signal} / \sqrt{N_{signal} + N_{bkg}}$  with requirements on  $p_T$ , transverse decay length and its significance, proper decay length significance, and vertex quality.

•Resolve ambiguities: If both  $\Lambda$  and  $K_s$  are found in same event: choose the one with best vertex if they are formed from different tracks, and remove event if they are formed from same tracks.

•Extract # events by using unbinned fits to double Gaussian + second order polynomial.





$$N_{\Lambda_b \to J/\psi\Lambda} = 314 \pm 29$$

Input these yields to :

$$\sigma_{rel} \equiv \frac{f(b \to \Lambda_b) \cdot B(\Lambda_b \to J/\psi\Lambda)}{f(b \to B^0) \cdot B(B^0 \to J/\psi K_s^0)} = \frac{N_{\Lambda_b \to J/\psi\Lambda}}{N_{B^0 \to J/\psi K_s^0}} \cdot \frac{B(K_s^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-)}{B(\Lambda \to p\pi^-)} \cdot \varepsilon$$

Using:

$$\varepsilon \equiv \frac{\varepsilon_{B^0 \to J/\psi K_s^0}}{\varepsilon_{\Lambda_b \to J/\psi\Lambda}} = 2.37 \pm 0.05 \text{ (MC stat.)}$$
$$B(K_s^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-) = 0.6920 \pm 0.0005(PDG)$$
$$B(\Lambda \to p\pi^-) = 0.639 \pm 0.005(PDG)$$

#### **Uncertainties:**

• $\Lambda_b$ , B<sup>0</sup> yields - 5.5% •simulation model contribution to  $\varepsilon$  - 2% •contamination of  $\Lambda_b$  by B<sup>0</sup> and B<sup>0</sup> by  $\Lambda_b$  - 2.3% • $\Lambda_b$  polarization effects upon  $\Lambda$  emission - 7.2%

#### Combined uncertainty: 9.6%

# Result: $\sigma_{rel} = 0.345 \pm 0.034(stat.) \pm 0.033(syst.) \pm 0.003(PDG)$

#### Cross checks and stability studies:

•No variation observed correlated with temporal selection,  $\eta$ ,  $p_T$ , decay lengths, etc.

-Monte Carlo compared to and confirmed by data for decay length distributions, vertex  $\chi^2$  distributions, and  $\Lambda$  and  $K_s$  lifetime measurement.



## Summary

Recent measurements by CDF and D0 of heavy baryons at the Tevatron are presented.

• $\Lambda_c(2595)$ ,  $\Lambda_c(2625)$ ,  $\Sigma_c(2455)$ , and  $\Sigma_c(2520)$  masses and widths have been measured to generally improved precision and, for  $\Lambda_c(2595)^+$ , a significant revision in the world average mass.

- •The pion coupling h<sub>2</sub> is obtained.
- •All four  $\Sigma_{b}^{(*)}$  states are reconfirmed at significance >7 $\sigma$ , and the precision on their masses is improved by more than a factor of 2.

•Widths and isospin mass splittings of the four  $\Sigma_{b}^{(*)}$  states have been measured for the first time.

• The  $\Lambda_b$  production cross section relative to B<sup>0</sup>, times branching fractions to kinematically similar final states, has been measured.

# backup



